wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDI	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Je	esus Manuel Urias-Castaneda	Case Number:	11-6069M	
present and w			was held on February 22, 2011. Defendant was ridence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
l final by a new		NDINGS OF FACT		
· _ · ·	ponderance of the evidence that:	<b>.</b>		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the Uni	•	•	
	•	he defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contact	ts in the United States or	in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ry.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	у	ears imprisonment.	
The C at the time of t	the hearing in this matter, except as noted	in the record.	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendar			
2.	No condition or combination of condition	is will reasonably assure S REGARDING DETEN	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections fappeal. The dof the United S	efendant is committed to the custody of the acility separate, to the extent practicable, from efendant shall be afforded a reasonable op States or on request of an attorney for the whe United States Marshal for the purpose of	e Attorney General or his/ om persons awaiting or se portunity for private cons sovernment, the person ir	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour or charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS (	DRDERED that should an appeal of this de	tention order be filed with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to	
deliver a copy Court.	of the motion for review/reconsideration to	Pretrial Services at least	one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
Services suffice	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ciently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	third party is to be consid e District Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATED this 23 <sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2011.				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge